## Application No.

Applicant(s) 08/491,892

Hansen et al.

Interview Summary Examiner

Group Art Unit Barbara Badio

1209



All participants (applicant, applicant's rep	presentative, PTO personnel):
(1) Barbara Badio	(3)
(2) Mr. Paul Kokulis	
Date of Interview Nov 26, 1	
Type: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (cop	py is given to $\ \square$ applicant $\ \square$ applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducte	ed:   Yes   No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was no	ot reached.
Claim(s) discussed: 1-5, 7, and 8	
Identification of prior art discussed:  None	
	copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable attached.)
1. 🗓 It is not necessary for applicant to	o provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED A Section 713.04). If a response to the last	ecked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP t Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of the objections, rejections claims are now allowable, this co	ummary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the ampleted form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last elieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this fo	orm unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.